

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/835,699 04/16/2001 | | Marcy E. Armstrong | 19258CC | 6277 |
| 7590 12/14/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Merck & Co., Inc. Patent Department | | | MARTINELL, JAMES | |
| P.O. Box 2000 - RY60-30 Rahway, NJ 07065-0907 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1631 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/835,699 | ARMSTRONG ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | James Martinell | 1631 | | | |
| 7 Period for F | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHOR THE MA - Extensio after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply | RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is included the mailing date of this communication. It is included the mailing date of this communication is included the mailing date of this communication. It is included the mailing date of | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: cause the application to become ABAN | y be timely filed i0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ Tr 3)⊟ Si | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| Disposition | of Claims | | | | | |
| 4a) 5)⊠ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)⊠ CI | | | | | | |
| Application | Papers | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ Th Ap Re | e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on <u>17 August 2001</u> is/are: plicant may not request that any objection to the explacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrective oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s) | . See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority und | ler 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a) | Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. s have been received in Appliity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | lication Noceived in this National Stage | | | |
| Notice of Informati | References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/M | mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/835,699

Art Unit: 1631

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 15, 17, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burke (Reviews of Infectious Diseases 13 (Suppl. 11): S906-911 (1991)) in view of applicants' admitted state of the prior art (*e.g.*, page 14, lines 16-18 and 30-34 and page 24, line 16 through page 25, line 7). The plasmid disclosed in Figure 1 (page S907) expresses an HSV truncated protein in CHO cells in culture.

Applicants acknowledge the use of promoters to effect expression in heterologous systems and the specific promoters mentioned in the claims (*e.g.*, see claim 1) to be old. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any of the admittedly old

Application/Control Number: 09/835,699

Art Unit: 1631

promoters in the expression vector of Burke to achieve higher levels of gene expression. The resultant obvious vector has all of the features of the claimed compositions.

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Burke (Reviews of Infectious Diseases 13 (Suppl. 11): \$906-911 (1991)). The plasmid disclosed in Figure 1 (page \$907) has all of the structural features recited in the claims. (*e.g.*, see the figure legend and the paragraph bridging the columns on page \$907. The preamble reciting an intended use does not distinguish the claimed compositions from those of the reference.

Claims 4-7, 14, 16, 21-24, 25, 29, and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 8-13 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Martinell whose telephone number is (571) 272-0719. The fax phone number for Examiner Martinell's desktop workstation is (571) 273-0719. The examiner works a flexible schedule and can be reached by phone and voice mail. Alternatively, a request for a return telephone call may be e-

Art Unit: 1631

mailed to <u>james.martinell@uspto.gov</u>. Since e-mail communications may not be secure, it is suggested that information in such requests be limited to name, phone number, and the best time to return the call.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

FAX NUMBER

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any Official Communication to the USPTO should be faxed to this number.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

James Martinell, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1631

12/11/04